

The Artemis Accords

A look at the principles guiding the Outer Space Treaty and new governance

21 July 2026

Once again, we are preparing to venture to the moon. However, unlike the Apollo era prior, this new period of exploration involves not only national space agencies but also commercial companies and an expanding community of international partners. So, as activity beyond Earth seemingly accelerates, questions naturally arise over how states should cooperate, share scientific discoveries, and manage increasingly complex operations. The Artemis Accords were developed to provide a common set of principles for peaceful and responsible exploration. Although they are not a treaty, and thus not legally binding like the OST, they do represent one of the more significant developments in international space governance in more recent times.

Announced in 2020 by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) as well as the United States Department of State, the Artemis Accords establish a framework of shared principles for nations participating in the Artemis programme. Rather than replacing existing international legislation, the Accords build upon agreements such as the Outer Space Treaty, encouraging cooperation while providing greater practical guidance for modern lunar exploration. Since their introduction, an increasing number of countries have become signatories, reflecting growing international interest in collaborative exploration beyond our planet.

The Artemis Accords promote multiple key principles intended to encourage responsible conduct in space:

- **Peaceful Exploration:** Activities should be conducted exclusively for peaceful purposes and in accordance with international law.
- **Transparency:** Participating nations are encouraged to publicly describe their policies and activities, to reduce misunderstandings and build international trust.

- **Interoperability:** Spacecraft, equipment and operational procedures should be designed to work together and be universal where possible, allowing international missions to cooperate more effectively.
- **Emergency Assistance:** Consistent with existing international obligations, signatories commit to assisting astronauts in distress, reinforcing the principle that human life takes precedence over political interest.
- **Preservation of Heritage:** Historic landing sites, including those from the Apollo programme, are considered as important elements of humanity's shared heritage deserving appropriate protection.
- **Responsible Resource Extraction:** The Accords recognise that the extraction and utilisation of space resources can be conducted consistently with existing international law, provided such activities remain transparent and responsible. Though this principle has generated significant discussion within the international community and does remain one of the most debated elements of the Accords.

Although widely supported by participating nations, the Artemis Accords have also prompted important legal and political questions. Critics argue that the Accords were developed outside the United Nations framework and may place disproportionate influence in the hands of the coalition of Western States (NASA, UKSA, CNES, ESA, etc.) rather than reflecting broader international consensus. Others question whether concepts such as 'safety zones', designed to prevent harmful interference between missions, could gradually and eventually resemble de facto territorial control if it is not carefully implemented. Supporters, however, argue that the Accords provide practical guidance where existing treaties offer only broad principles, enabling cooperation while preserving the core commitments of international space law.

As lunar explorations expand, governance frameworks will inevitably continue to evolve. The Artemis Accords represent an important attempt to translate the broad principles of the Outer Space Treaty into practical guidance for twenty-first century exploration. Whether they become the foundation of future international normalcies or remain one of the several

competing governance models will ultimately depend upon continued international dialogue and the willingness of both established and emerging space nations to cooperate. The future of the Moon will not be determined solely by technological advancement, but by the principles that guide in our presence beyond Earth.

Teddy Crick

Founder & Policy Lead, Free Space Initiative